

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/753,431	01/02/2001	Robert S. Young JR.	ASF98064 (021971.0144)			
75	00/00/2005					
Raymond M Galasso			EXAMINER			
Simon, Galasso P O Box 26503 Austin, TX 78'			ROSENBERGER	ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2877			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0	Applicant(a)	
		09/753,431		Applicant(s)	
Office Action	Summary	Examiner		YOUNG ET AL.	
-9	-	Richard A Ros	enherger	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cov	enberger er sheet with the c	2877 Orrespondence addi	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTC THE MAILING DATE OF T  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai  - If the period for reply specified abov  - If NO period for reply is specified ab  - Failure to reply within the set or exte  - Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See	DRY PERIOD FOR REPLY HIS COMMUNICATION.  The under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ling date of this communication.  The is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ove, the maximum statutory period with the period for reply will, by statute, or than three months after the mailing of 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IS SET TO EX 16(a). In no event, ho within the statutory n ill apply and will expiration cause the application date of this communi	KPIRE 3 MONTH(; wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from t	S) FROM ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this com	
	nunication(s) filed on				
2a) This action is FINAL	/	s action is non-			
3) Since this application closed in accordance Disposition of Claims	n is in condition for allowar e with the practice under <i>E</i>	nce except for Ex parte Quayle	formal matters, pro e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	osecution as to the logony of	merits is
4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are p	pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim	n(s) is/are withdraw	n from conside	ration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19, 21-23</u> i					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are reje					
7) Claim(s) is/are					
8) Claim(s) are su Application Papers	bject to restriction and/or	election require	ement.		
9)☐ The specification is obj	ected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a) accepte	ed or b) objec	ted to by the Exam	iner.	
Applicant may not requ	est that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a)	
11) Ine proposed drawing	correction filed on is	s: a)⊡ approv	ed b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected of	frawings are required in reply	to this Office ac	tion.		
12) The oath or declaration		niner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119					
13) Acknowledgment is ma	ade of a claim for foreign p —	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) [					
1. ☐ Certified copies	of the priority documents h	nave been rece	ived.		
2. Certified copies	of the priority documents h	nave been rece	ived in Application	No	
3.∐ Copies of the ce application fr * See the attached detaile	rtified copies of the priority rom the International Burea d Office action for a list of				ge
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is mad	e of a claim for domestic p	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (	to a provisional and	olioation)
a) ∐ The translation of ti 15)∏ Acknowledgment is mad	he foreign language provis	sional annlication	n has been receiv	rod	olication).
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-8     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Ora     Information Disclosure Statement(s	wing Review (PTO-948)	5) ∐	Interview Summary (P Notice of Informal Pate Other:	TO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-15;	. 2)
P.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	n Summary	F	Part of Paper No. 8	

Application/Control Number: 09/753,431

Art Unit: 2877

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless.

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by well-known knowledge in the art, as characterized by the discussion of prior art in the instant specification.

Claim 20 is a product-by-process claim, defining a product, a digital image comprising a plurality of digital pixel values residing on a computer readable medium, in term of a process of producing the product, with process steps of capturing in a particular manner and adjusting based upon the capturing.

A product by process claim is not limited to the process, but only to the result of the process; see MPEP 2113.

Here the product is clearly known in the art. The product is nothing more than a series of digital pixel values on a computer readable medium. Digital images in the form of pixel values stored on a medium readable by a computer are known in the art; the instant specification, in discussing the prior art on page 2, notes that prior art systems used "digital image data".

Application/Control Number: 09/753,431

Art Unit: 2877

.1

Page 3

There appears to be no way in which the digital image data or the sort known in the art can be examined and, from that examination, a determination made as to whether or not a correction was made by the claimed method or another method, or, for that matter, if a correction was made at all. Thus the method steps do not limit the image in a patentable manner.

- 3. It appears that claims 1-19 and 21-23 are allowable. The art does not appear to teach or suggest the claimed system and method, in particular a system or process for capturing data form light from an unexposed area of a film illuminated by a light source while the film has a developer chemical applied thereto and using this data to image data from the film for sensor and illumination non-uniformities.
- 4. Kachelries (US 4,345,831) shows a system in which there is a photodetector responsive to the intensity of the light source to generate a background level for correction of data from a film being developed to correct for possible variations (non-uniformities) in the light source or the photodetector response (column 3, lines 9-10). The reference does not appear to teach or suggest using data based upon reflectance from an unexposed area of film while developer solution is applied to it, rather, the signal is representative of the signal when no film is present; for example, see claim 1 of the reference.

- 5. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The fax number is (703) 308-7722.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. A. Rosenberger whose telephone number is (703) 308-4804. The examiner's normal work schedule is 8:00 to 4:30 eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

R. A. Rosenberger 30 May 2003

Richard A. Rosenberger Primary Examiner